

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,068	01/17/2002	Laurence Edward LaForge	24888	. 1113
7590 04/06/2007 Laurence Edward Laforge 3341 Adler Court			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
Reno, NV 895	03		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·		•	2151	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			04/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Abandonment    10/050,068   LAFORGE ET AL		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Dhairya A. Patel 2151  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— This application is abandoned in view of:		10/050 068	LAFORGE ET AL			
This application is abandoned in view of:	Notice of Abandonment					
This application is abandoned in view of:		Dhairva A Patel	2151			
This application is abandoned in view of:  1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 Sectember 2006.  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on  (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed damendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed voltice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bone fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ⑤ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  (d) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply fincibuling a total extension of time of months), which expired on</li> <li>(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).</li> <li>(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).</li> <li>(d) ☒ No reply has been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is S The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ Critical Proposed corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowance fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is a feet the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <li>(b) ☐ No corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <li>(b) ☐ No corrected drawin</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply fincibuling a total extension of time of months), which expired on</li> <li>(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).</li> <li>(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).</li> <li>(d) ☒ No reply has been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is S The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ Critical Proposed corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowance fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is a feet the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <li>(b) ☐ No corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <li>(b) ☐ No corrected drawin</li></ul>	.  Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office	e letter mailed on 21 Sentember 200	06			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) at limely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is safet the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant, stated that n						
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailling date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. Where reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to review under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, shou	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.81, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b)   The submitted fee of \$; is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3.   Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a)   Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b)   No corrected drawings have been received.  4.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6.   The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7.   The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Pelitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c)	), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant, stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  1. ☑ Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Tracemank Office.	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  Lys Patenta Minimize	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filled.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filled.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is					
the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filled.  ZARNI MAUNG  PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filled.  ZARNI MAYING  PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filled to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	esentative capacity under 37 CFR			
A call was made to Laurence Edward Laforge (inventor) on 3/30/2007, and the applicant stated that no response has been filed.  ZARNI MAUNG  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			use the period for seeking court review			
Deen filed.  ZARNI MAUNG  ZARNI MAUNG  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	1	entor) on 3/30/2007, and the app	licant stated that no response has			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	TADAH MANNG					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	JUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070401			